

FATS AND PROTEINS RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC.

2720 DES PLAINES AVENUE • DES PLAINES, ILLINOIS 60018

TELEPHONE AREA CODE 312 827-0139

THE DIRECTOR'S DIGEST
D. M. DOTY
TECHNICAL DIRECTOR

May 17, 1972 No. 95

ODOR CONTROL TECHNIQUES FOR THE RENDERING INDUSTRY

IIT Research Institute, under contract with FPRF, has underway an extensive study to develop effective, economical techniques for the control of odors from the rendering process. This research is supported in part by special grants from meat industry trade associations and by contract with the Environmental Protection Agency.

Appropriate design calculations were used in a computer program to compare the probable cost of controlling odors by incineration and by chemical scrubbing using a two stage packed tower scrubber. The data (Table 1) show clearly that the cost for odor reduction by a two stage packed tower scrubber is much more economical than incineration except for extremely high odor reduction ratios. Preliminary calculations for catalytic combustion show lower fuel costs than incineration (as expected) but investment and maintenance costs are higher so total costs are just as great as for incineration.

Exploratory "bubbler" experiments have been performed to determine the reactivity of various scrubbing solutions toward some of the compounds present in rendering odors. The results (Table 2) indicate that a number of the chemicals tested should be effective in scrubber solutions to remove rendering odors. Other chemicals tested were ineffective or only slightly reactive to the odorants. These included 5% hydrochloric acid, 5% nitric acid, 5% sodium carbonate, 1% ozone in water, 5% ferric chloride, 5% copper sulfate, 5% barium chloride and 5% aluminum chloride. Water alone completely removed butyric acid.

Preliminary experiments with the laboratory packed tower scrubber have indicated that the solutions effective in the bubbler experiments will reconve the odorants in the laboratory scrubber.

Some additional odorous sulfur-containing and nitrogen-containing compounds have been identified in rendering plant emissions. Some of these will be tested in the experimental scrubber.

Table 1. Cost of Odor Control Treatment by Incineration and by Two Stage Packed Tower Scrubber

el 100 pende lucked lower actuabet											
Incineration											
Flow	Odor	Reactor			Cost						
CFM	Reduction	Temp.	Time	Vol.	Investment	Total					
	%	°F.	sec.	cu.ft.		Yearly					
5,000	99	1069	. 7	164	\$24,500	\$22,540					
	99.9	1082	1.0	237	28,500	23,800					
	99.999	1111	1.5	362	34,000	25,700					
Two Stage Scrubber**											
Flow	Odor	Tower									
CFM	Reduction	Depth	Diameter	Pressure	Investment	Total					
				_Drop		Yearly*					
	%	ft.	ft.	in.Water							
5,000	99	5.1	7.1	.49	\$22,600	\$ 7,200					
	99.9	7.7	7.1	.74	33,600	10,400					
	99.999	12.8	7.1	1.23	55,600	50,000					

*Assuming 5,000 hours operation

Table 2. Reduction of Various Odorants by Specific Reagents in "Bubbler" Experiments

	PTCT TYDET	THIGHTO					
Odorant	Percent Reduction by:						
	5% Sodium	Satd.Sodium	5% Sodium	0.6%	1%		
	Hydroxide	Persulfate	Persulfite	Potassium	Hypo-		
				Perman-	chlorite		
7 - 7				ganate			
Valeraldehyde	- ,	-	99	99	90		
Propyl sulfide	90	99	None	99	99		
1,6-Heptadiene	50	-	99	95	_		
Butanedione	99	-	99	50	90		
1							

The work upon which this report is based was performed pursuant to Contract No. 68-02-0260 with the Environmental Protection Agency.

^{**}Towers packed with $l^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in. Intalox Saddles